

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION	23
TECHNICAL NOTES	27
1 The method of referring to the literature.....	27
2 Remarks on quoting the Internet publications	27
3 The convention of quoting of texts	27
4 Remark on notes	27
5 Remarks in regards to terminology	28
PART ONE	
WITH A VISIT AT THE „COPERNICAN GALERY” THE PREVIOUS INTERPRETATIONS OF THE SCIENTIFIC ACHIEVEMENTS OF COPERNICUS	29
INTRODUCTION: THE INVITATION TO SIGHTSEEING THE „COPERNICAN GALLERY”	30
CHAPTER 1	
A SET OF „PORTRAITS” OF COPERNICUS	33
INTRODUCTION: BIRTH AND DEATH OF COPERNICUS	33
1. When was Copernicus born?.....	33
2. Where was Copernicus born?	35
3. When did Copernicus die?.....	37
4. Where is Copernicus’s grave?.....	40
ROOM I:	
FROM A „RENOVATOR OF ASTRONOMY” TO A „PERPETRATOR OF A THOUGHT BREAKTHROUGH MORE PHILOSOPHICAL THAN PHYSICAL AND OF AN UPHEAVAL IN THE UNDERSTANDING OF THE STRUCTURE OF THE WORLD”	46
1. A renovator of astronomy.....	46
2. A misunderstood thinker-canon	46
3. With Columbus and Luther – one of the key persons of the beginnings of the modern times	47
4. The author of a great breakthrough, a turn in science and its worldview	49
5. A great reformer.....	50
6. A revolutionary.....	50
7. An author of a revolutionary work.....	61

8. An unoriginal researcher, only a perpetrator of the crystallisation of known thought.	61
9. A revolutionary conservative and an author of a revolutionary work.	61
10. An unambiguous conservative.	62
11. An unintended revolutionary.	63
12. A perpetrator of a thought breakthrough more philosophical than physical and of an upheaval in the understanding of the structure of the world	63
 ROOM II:	
FROM „A CONTINUATOR AND EVEN THE PLAGIARIST OF PYTHAGOREANS” TO „THE LAST SUCCESSOR OF THE ARABIC SCHOOL OF ASTRONOMY FROM MARAGHA OR ONE OF THE CONTINUATORS OF PROKLOS”	65
1. A continuator and even a plagiarist of Pythagoreans, including Aristarchus of Samos, or the true discover of the heliocentric system?	65
2. An unoriginal researcher that learned of the Copernican system in Italy?	68
3. The first astronomer of modern times or the last representative of Greek astronomy.	69
4. An imitator of Johannes Regiomontanus?	71
5. A continuator of revolutionary achievements of the scholastics of the late middle ages?	72
6. Was Copernicus an Aristotelian?	76
7. The last successor of the Arabic school of astronomy from Maragha or one of the continuators of Proklos?	76
 ROOM III:	
FROM A „HYPOTHETICAL FICTIONALIST” TO A „SACRAL REALIST READING AND ENTERING INTO THE SPIRIT OF THE BOOK OF NATURE”	80
1. A hypothetical fictionalist (an advocate of the fictional nature of astronomical hypotheses)	80
2. A hypothetical realist (an advocate of the truth of astronomical hypotheses)	80
3. The discoverer of the true system of the World and the father, founder, creator of modern astronomy	81
4. Only the author of a „fortunate conjecture”	82
5. A metaphysical realist (an advocate of the absolute truth of astronomical hypotheses)	82

6. The discoverer of the true system of the World or only the inventor of a convenient reference system?	82
7. Neither a pure realist nor a pure fictionalist	83
8. A sacral realist reading and entering into the spirit of the Book of Nature	83
ROOM IV:	
FROM AN „ASTRONOMER AND MATHEMATICIAN” TO A „RHETORICIAN AND DIALECTICIAN”	85
1. An astronomer and mathematician or rather a philosopher, humanist, physician and naturalist?	85
2. An amateur in the field of Aristotelian physics and logic	87
3. The restorer of natural philosophy	87
4. A rhetorician and dialectician	87
ROOM V:	
FROM A „DESTROYER OF EXISTING ORDER” TO „A MYTHICAL SYMBOL AND COMPLEX OF MODERN TIMES”	89
1. A destroyer of the existing order:	89
(a) A religious iconoclast and the leader of the heretic Pythagoreans	89
(b) The perpetrator of intellectual, metaphysical and psychological anxiety in 16–19 century	96
(c) The perpetrator of the degradation of the status of man as a result of the degradation of the status of the Earth – the source of modern nihilism and atheism	98
2. A discloser of the physical minuteness of man and at the same time of her or his intellectual greatness	98
3. A mythical perpetrator of the degradation of man as a result of the imaginary degradation of the Earth	99
4. A victim of persecutions	99
5. The main hero of the „Copernican drama”	100
6. A faithful Catholic and the discloser of the World mechanism premeditated by the Highest Creator or rather an atheist and materialist?	101
7. A mythical symbol and complex of modern times	103
ROOM VI:	
FROM A „DIVINE, GREAT ASTRONOMER” TO A „TIMID CANON AND THE AUTHOR OF THE <i>DE REVOLUTIONIBUS</i> – THE WORK NOT READ AND LITTLE READABLE”	104
1. A divine, great astronomer	104

2. A man of a free spirit.	104
3. A scholar conscious or rather unconscious of the worth of his mind and achievements?	105
4. A rationalist.	106
5. A empiricist and rationalist	106
6. An unlogical realist or even irrationalist	107
7. A mystic doctor: Christian Neopythagorean, Neoplatonic and advocate of Hermetic philosophy?	108
8. A solitary neurotic genius.	111
9. A recluse and at the same time a man of action	112
10. A timid canon and the author of the <i>De revolutionibus</i> – a work not often read and little readable?	113
ROOM VII:	
SARMATUS, GERMANUS, TORUNIENSIS, PRUTENUS, POLE, GERMAN, PREUBE (PRUSSIAN), „L'UOMO UNIVERSALE” (UNIVERSALIST) OR EUROPEAN?	115
Postscriptum I.	133
Postscriptum II.	133
Postscriptum III	134
Postscriptum IV	135
FIRST COMMENTARY OF THE CURATOR	137
1. On the ways of utilization of the set of „portraits” from the „Copernican Gallery”.	137
2. The amazing scope of views on the achievements of Copernicus and its partial sources.	137
3. The suggestions for unambitious researchers – that is to say how one can paint „a new portrait” of Copernicus without any difficulty	140
4. The suggestions for ambitious researchers of Copernicus thought	141
4.1. The inevitability of construction of „portraits” of Copernicus’s achievements.	141
4.2. Two principal elements of any „portrait” of Copernicus’s achievements	141
4.3. The cognitive status of the portraits constructed.	142
4.4. The basic requirements of the construction of correct reading of Copernicus’s achievements.	142
Appendix 1	
The paintings of portraits of Copernicus.	145
Appendix 2	
The commentaries to the painting of portraits of Copernicus.	150

Appendix 3	
The painting and poetic visions of Copernicus	153
CHAPTER 2	
A COLLECTION OF SELECTED COPERNICAN ISSUES	165
Introduction	165
1. Whether the Copernican system is heliocentric?	166
2. The issue of place	168
3. The issue of gravitation	170
4. A comparison of the simplicity of Copernicus's, Ptolemy's and Aristotle's theories	170
5. The principle of relativity	174
6. The „Copernican principle” and „cosmological principle”, that is to say the cosmology of Copernicus's theory in the light of relativistic cosmology	178
7. Copernicus's, Aristotle's and Ptolemy's theories and the principle of correspondence and the issue of incommensurability	179
8. Instrumentalism – realism – equant	183
9. The issue of the truth of Copernicus's theory	185
10. The issue of ontological status of Copernicus's theory	188
11. The method of Copernicus	190
12. The problem of rationality or irrationality of the scientific discovery of Copernicus	196
13. The so-called Copernican revolution – what is it?	217
SECOND COMMENTARY OF THE CURATOR	224
1. Once again, the fundamental divergences	224
2. A seemingly simple problem – the understanding of science and the issue of a specific terminological weakness	224
3. The influence of linguistic limitations on the understanding of Copernican subject-matter	225
4. How one should paint an ambitious „portrait” of Copernicus's achievements?	226
PART TWO	
IN SEARCH OF THE CAUSES OF THE DIVERGENCIES IN THE ESTIMATION OF COPERNICUS'S ACHIEVEMENTS	227
INTRODUCTION	229

CHAPTER 3

THE VISIT IN THE CONTEMPORARY WORKSHOPS OF THE INTERPRETERS OF COPERNICUS'S ACHIEVEMENTS	231
1. The most important five groups of interpreters	231
2. The greatest achievements of the five groups of interpreters	233
3. The difficulties in the exchange of information between different groups of interpreters.	245
4. The anniversary celebrations – in principle, the lost opportunity of dialog.	246
5. The interdisciplinarity of interests of particular scholars – a proper preventive measure for a lack of dialog?	247
6. The workshop problems of contemporary interpreters of Copernicus's achievements and a proper reading of Copernicus's thought	249
6.1. Wasiutyński [1938] (II ed [2007]) – „patriotic” Copernican myth	249
6.2. The doubts concerning what should be the main subject of contemporary Copernican research.	250
6.3. The gaps in the historical factographic material and the problem of understanding the genesis of Copernicus's discovery.	250
6.4. The problem with the precursors of Copernicus	251
6.5. Kuhn [1957] (Polish translation [1966]) – the multilateral problematic considerations of the <i>De revolutionibus</i> and an attempt of its justification	253
6.6. Koczy [1977] and the fundamental paradox and problem of Copernican Year 1973	254
6.7. The critical judgement of historians of science: the myth of the so-called Copernican revolution and the Scientific Revolution and its source – the anachronism of interpretations.	255
6.8. A continuous relevance of workshop problems and a necessity of further research	256
THIRD COMMENTARY OF THE CURATOR	258
1. The contemporary interpreters of Copernicus's achievements – the builders of the „Tower of Babel”	258
A FINAL THOUGHT	259
The contemporary Copernican studies – is the real argument for postmodernism?	259

Copernicus – the figure actually not much known or even unknown!.....	260
The three possible scenarios of the development of contemporary Copernican research	262
A SUMMARY OF THE CONSIDERATIONS	265
NOTES	
Chapter 1.....	269
Chapter 2.....	442
Chapter 3.....	483
The final thought	499
BIBLIOGRAPHY	501
SUMMARY	625
LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	627
INDEX OF PERSONS	631
INDEX OF SUBJECTS	657

